Redox Chemistry of Lanthanides

Electrochemical Investigation of the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) Redox Couple in Complexes with Variable Numbers of Glycinamide and Acetate Pendant Arms


Abstract: The Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) redox couple provides a convenient design platform for responsive pO\(_2\) sensors for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Specifically, the Eu\(^{2+}\) ion provides \(T_1\)-shortening contrast enhancement under hypoxic conditions in tissues, whereas, under normoxia, the Eu\(^{3+}\) ion can produce contrast from chemical exchange saturation transfer in MRI. The oxidative stability of the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) redox couple for a series of tetraaza macrocyclic complexes was investigated in this work using cyclic voltammetry. A series of Eu-containing cyclen-based macrocyclic complexes revealed positive shifts in the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) redox potentials with each replacement of a carboxylate coordinating arm of the ligand scaffold with glycinamide pendant arms. The data obtained reveal that the complex containing four glycinamide coordinating pendant arms has the highest oxidative stability of the series investigated.

Introduction

The paramagnetic properties of trivalent lanthanide complexes have been applied in a variety of biomedical imaging applications. The most widely known clinical application is the use of Gd\(^{3+}\)-based complexes (4f\(^7\), \(S = 7/2\)) as \(T_1\)-shortening contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Specifically, the Eu\(^{2+}\) ion provides \(T_1\)-shortening contrast enhancement under hypoxic conditions in tissues, whereas, under normoxia, the Eu\(^{3+}\) ion can produce contrast from chemical exchange saturation transfer in MRI. The oxidative stability of the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) redox couple for a series of tetraaza macrocyclic complexes was investigated in this work using cyclic voltammetry. A series of Eu-containing cyclen-based macrocyclic complexes revealed positive shifts in the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) redox potentials with each replacement of a carboxylate coordinating arm of the ligand scaffold with glycinamide pendant arms. The data obtained reveal that the complex containing four glycinamide coordinating pendant arms has the highest oxidative stability of the series investigated.
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Additionally, Eu\(^{2+}\) is the most stable divalent lanthanide with respect to oxidation, thereby enabling its study in aqueous media. Consequently, a number of investigations have focused on ligand designs that further stabilize the +2 oxidation state of the europium ion,[4a,5] and these studies often invoke hard–soft acid–base theory because Eu\(^{2+}\) (ca. 117 pm) is considered a softer metal ion than Eu\(^{3+}\) (ca. 103 pm).[6]

An attractive feature of europium complexes as contrast agents for MRI is that the contrast enhancement generated by these complexes depends on the oxidation state of the metal ion. Specifically, Eu\(^{2+}\)-containing complexes are efficient \(T_1\)-relaxation agents that can be converted, upon oxidation, into paraCEST agents, provided the Eu\(^{3+}\)-containing complex results from oxidation of Eu\(^{2+}\) has exchangeable protons or bound water characteristics favorable for CEST.[7] The ligand coordinating the Eu\(^{3+/2+}\) ion plays an important role in tuning these exchange characteristics that are critical for imaging in addition to influencing the oxidative stability of Eu\(^{2+}\). Cryptand-type ligands stabilize the Eu\(^{2+}\)-oxidation state and enable fast water exchange for efficient shortening of the bulk water \(T_1\). This ligand system permits the differentiation of oxygen-poor from oxygen-rich environments in vivo,[8] including distinguishing necrotic from non-necrotic tumor tissue.[9] However, with rapidly exchanging coordinated water and no exchangeable protons on the macrocyclic ligand, discrete cryptates of europium, outside of liposomes,[10] are not good at influencing CEST compared to europium complexes that contain exchangeable protons.

We recently demonstrated that Eu(3) (Scheme 1) shortens \(T_1\) in the reduced state (Eu\(^{2+}\)) and produces a CEST signal in the...
oxidized state (Eu$^{3+}$).[7] When the agent was injected directly into muscle tissue of healthy mice, both contrast modalities were observable: the $T_1$ effect lasted for about 20 minutes before completely diminishing, and after 15 minutes, it was possible to detect the CEST effect.[7b] Furthermore, Eu$^{3+}$ (3) displays kinetic selectivity for small molecule oxidizing agents that prevents other biologically relevant oxidizing agents, such as glutathione disulfide, from oxidizing Eu$^{2+}$ (3) to Eu$^{3+}$ (3) on imaging-relevant timescales.[11]

Accordingly, there is growing precedent for the use of Eu$^{3+}$/2+ -cycloen based (tetraamide) derivatives as oxygen-sensitive MRI contrast agents in which one form of contrast ($T_1$ $2^{\text{nd}}$ oxidation state of Eu) is turned off in response to O$_2$, while the other form (paraCEST, +3 oxidation state of Eu) is turned on simultaneously. This dual contrast feature makes the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox couple promising in the design of responsive O$_2$ sensors for MRI. Cyclic voltammetry has been traditionally used to evaluate the oxidative stability of Eu$^{2+}$-containing complexes.[4a,5] The Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox couple in water has been reported to be chemically reversible or quasi-reversible depending upon the electrochemical parameters.[5a,12] The redox potential of the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox couple plays an important role in the design of Eu$^{3+}$/2+ -based redox-responsive probes. Ideally, the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox couple should favor the +3 oxidation state in normoxic tissues, and the +2 oxidation state should be stable only in hypoxic tissues.

The redox potentials of the Eu$^{2+}$ ion in complexes with various ligand systems have been reported including cryptands,[5b] polyoxodiazacyclododecane-1,7-bis(acetic acid) DOTA,[13] a Eu-encrypted Preyssler anion,[14] macrocyclic polyaminopolycarboxylates,[4a] polyazacryptands,[6a] and linear polyaminopolycarboxylate-type ligands.[15] With the exception of cryptates and the Eu-encrypted Preyssler anion, the resulting complexes of macrocyclic and linear ligands result in negative redox potentials relative to the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ aqua ion (~585 mV vs. Ag/AgCl).[13] The ability to fine tune the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox couple within a coordination environment suitable for $T_1$ enhancement and paraCEST contrast would be valuable in the rational design of new redox-responsive contrast agents that use the Eu$^{3+}$/2+ redox switch. Herein, we report the electrochemical behavior of a series of cycloen-based europium complexes with variable numbers of glycaminade and acetate pendant arms (Scheme 1). The redox stability of these complexes was investigated using cyclic voltammetry, and the influence of carboxylate vs. amide coordination on the oxidative stability of Eu$^{2+}$ is discussed.

**Results and Discussion**

The redox potential of a metal ion can be strongly influenced by coordination environment. This phenomenon is well-studied in bioinorganic, catalysis, and basic coordination chemistry.[16] Given the broad interest in redox-responsive contrast agents,[17] we set out to compare the oxidative stability of the europium complexes of DOTA-glycinate congeners (DOTA = 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid) with a step-wise increase in the number of glycaminade pendant arms relative to acetate pendant arms on the DOTA scaffold (Scheme 1). DOTA, 1, 3-(chloroacetyl)gylcine tert-buty1 ester and 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-bis(acetic acid tert-buty1 ester) (intermediate A) were synthesized as described previously.[18] Ligand 2 was synthesized using a two-step process (Scheme 2). The first step involved the reaction of two equivalents of (N-chloroacetyl) glycine tert-buty1 ester with one equivalent of intermediate A. Acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of the tert-buty1 esters yielded 2. The corresponding europium complexes were prepared by reacting ligands 1–3 or DOTA with EuCl$_3$ in water at pH 6.5. With a series of europium-containing complexes with varying numbers of glycaminade and acetate pendant arms, we turned our attention to studying the complexes in solution.

$^1$H NMR spectroscopy was used to investigate the coordination geometry of Eu$^{3+}$ complexes of ligands 1–3 in solution. Typically, Eu$^{3+}$ complexes of DOTA-tetraamide ligand systems adopt two coordination geometries in solution, which are distinguishable by high-resolution $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.[2,19] Accordingly, we acquired $^1$H NMR spectra of the Eu$^{3+}$ complexes Eu(1) and Eu(2) (D$_2$O, 9.4 T, and 25 °C, Figures S1 and S2). Ligand 2 has $C_2$ symmetry; therefore, Eu(2) is expected to have a relatively simplified $^1$H NMR spectrum compared to Eu(1) because ligand 1 has only $C_1$ symmetry. The number of resonances of the europium complexes is consistent with the expected symmetry for these chelates. In these spectra, either four or two
highly downfield shifted resonances are observed: 31.89, 30.80, 29.72, and 29.53 ppm for Eu(1) and 29.98 and 28.54 ppm for Eu(2). The observed chemical shift around 30 ppm is characteristic for the axial macrocyclic H4 protons of the square anti-prismatic (SAP) isomer,[19] suggesting that trivalent Eu(1) and Eu(2) predominantly exist as the SAP isomers in solution. The NMR spectra of Eu-DOTA and Eu(3) were consistent with previous reports and also indicated that the complexes are predominantly the SAP isomer in solution.[18b,20]

To characterize the effect of varying numbers of glycinamide and acetate pendant arms on the Eu3+/2+ redox couple, we acquired cyclic voltammograms (Figure 1) using a standard three-electrode setup consisting of a gold working electrode, platinum auxiliary electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode with KCl (1M) as a supporting electrolyte at pH 7.0. A gold working electrode was chosen for a large cathodic window (–200 to –1500 mV) and EuCl3 (aq.) was used to compare our values with reported values of the Eu3+/2+ redox couple. Diffusion control studies for all complexes were also performed (Figures S3–S10).

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms of Eu(3) (green), Eu(2) (black), Eu(1) (blue), and Eu-DOTA (purple) at pH 7.0 measured at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. Inset: Potential region containing the Eu3+/2+ redox couple for all complexes investigated.

Table 1 provides an overview of the electrochemical data collected for EuCl3 and complexes Eu(1), Eu(2), and Eu(3) at different scan rates. Plots of the $i_{pc}$ and $i_{pa}$ vs. the square root of the scan rate ($v^{1/2}$) (Figures S6, S8, and S10) indicate that the Eu3+/2+ couple in complexes Eu(1)–Eu(3) is diffusion controlled and quasi-reversible under the conditions used in the electrochemistry experiments.

As a basis of comparison, we measured the redox couple of the Eu3+/2+ aqua ion and observed a redox couple centered at –636.5 mV (vs. Ag/AgCl, 100 mV/s). This $E_{1/2}$ is reasonably close to the reported value (–585 mV vs. Ag/AgCl).[13,21] Additionally, the observed Eu3+/2+ redox event was found to be relatively diffusion controlled as well as chemically reversible through a one-electron transfer (Figures S3 and S4 and Table 1). Ligands change the redox properties of Eu ions, and the redox behavior of Eu-DOTA has been reported using a different working electrode (glassy carbon micro electrode).[21] Therefore, Eu-DOTA was evaluated using the experimental conditions maintained throughout this study to enable comparison with the reported results. Despite overlap of the reduction wave with the aqueous solvent window, an oxidation event was observed at –1101 mV vs. Ag/AgCl for Eu-DOTA (Figure S11), similar to the previously reported value (–1135 mV vs. Ag/AgCl).[21]

The cyclic voltammogram of Eu(3), containing four glycinamide pendant arms, revealed a redox couple centered at –971.0 mV vs. Ag/AgCl (Table 1). This value is in reasonable agreement with data recently published for this complex (–903 mV vs. Ag/AgCl, glassy carbon working electrode, pH 7).[7a] It should be noted that an incorrect $E_{1/2}$ value of –226 mV vs. Ag/AgCl was previously reported for this complex.[7b] The more positive midpoint potential of Eu(3) indicates that the amide-rich coordination environment thermodynamically favors divalent europium relative to Eu-DOTA (Figure 1). However the redox potential measured for Eu(3) is negatively shifted by 334 mV relative to the aqua ion.
Eu(1) and Eu(2) had midpoint potential of −1110 and −1068 mV, respectively, vs. Ag/AgCl (scan rate 100 mV/s, Figures S9 and S7, Table 1). The midpoint potentials of Eu(1) and Eu(2), having both glycineamide and acetate pendant arms, fall between the midpoint potentials of complexes bearing purely acetate, Eu-DOTA, or purely glycineamide, Eu(3), pendant arms. These results indicate that sequential substitution of a negatively charged carboxylate with a neutral amide donor group on a cyclen scaffold increases the oxidative stability of Eu(2). The more positive redox potential of the amide-containing complexes compared with Eu-DOTA likely reflect the increased stability resulting from the interaction between the relatively soft amide oxygen donor atoms with the relatively soft Eu2+ ion.

To quantify this observation, we plotted midpoint potentials of Eu(1), Eu(2), Eu(3), and Eu-DOTA as a function of glycineamide pendant arms replaced by acetate pendant arms (Figure 2). From this data, we measured a 42 mV decrease in midpoint potential per glycineamide replaced by acetate, which demonstrates the possibility of fine-tuned control over the Eu3+/2+ redox couple. For comparison, an analogous effect was observed for Eu2+-containing complexes of functionalized 1,10-diaza-18-crown-6 ligands in which negatively charged picolinate sidearms were replaced with neutral picolinamide groups.[13] Interestingly, replacement of the four carboxylates with amides in our macrocyclic complexes shows smaller shifts (ca. 42 mV per substitution) than the picolinate system (ca. 150 mV per substitution) with only two possible positions for pendant arm substitution. This difference is likely due to a variety of structural and electronic differences between the two series of molecules. Regardless of these differences, the overall trends are similar.

**Experimental Section**

General Remarks: All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise stated. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VNMRs direct drive Varian console spectrometer operating at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively. Liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LCMS) for ligands and complexes were performed using a Waters analytical HPLC system connected to a Waters QtofMS-XEVO (ESI, positive mode) mass spectrometer. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained in a nitrogen atmosphere at 22 °C using a BASI EC Epsilon potentiostat equipped with a 1.6 mm gold working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and quasi silver/silver chloride reference electrode. Measurements were performed in water (molecular biology reagent grade, Sigma) with KCI (1 m) as the supporting electrolyte.

**Synthesis of the Ligands:** 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA)[18a] and ligands 1[22] and 3[18b] were synthesized following reported procedures.

**Synthesis of Ligand 2:** 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-bis-(acetic acid tert-butyl ester)-4,10-bis(acetic acid glycinamide) (2). This ligand was synthesized by reacting one equivalent of 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-bis(acetic acid tert-butyl ester) (0.500 g, 2.10 mmol) with 2.1 equiv. of (N-chloroacetyl)glycine tert-butyl ester (519 mg, 2.50 mmol) in the presence of K2CO3 (691 mg, 5.00 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 mL). The hydrolysis of the resulting tert-butyl protected compound (B) with neat trifluoroacetic acid (3 mL) followed by evaporation with a stream of N2 gas produced a viscous yellow oil. Precipitation with diethyl ether afforded 682 mg (yield 84 %) of the final product as a white powder. 1H NMR (400 MHz, D2O) δ = 4.63 (s, 4 H), 3.79–3.77 (m, 8 H), 3.41–2.78 (m, 16 H). 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, D2O): δ = 173.07 (C=O), 170.91 (C=O), 163.40 (C=O), 55.23 (NCH2C), 54.84 (NCH2C), 50.69–48.68 (Cyclen), 41.03 (NHCH2CO), MS (ESI positive mode) m/z Found, 519.09; [M + H]+ calculated for C27H21NN2O15, 519.24.

**Synthesis of Eu3+ Complexes**

Ligand 1 or 2 was dissolved in water (10 mL), and the pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 6.5 with NaOH (0.1 M). One equivalent of EuCl3·6H2O was added, and the pH was again adjusted to 6.5 with NaOH (0.1 M) and the resulting solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h. Excess Eu3+ was isolated as Eu(OH)3 by increasing the pH above 8 using aqueous NaOH (1 M) and filtering through a 0.2 μm syringe filter before adjusting the pH to 7 with HCl (1 M). The resulting solution was lyophilized to give the desired complex. The Eu(3) and Eu-DOTA complexes were synthesized using published procedures.[18a,18b]

In this work, cyclic voltammetry was used to measure the redox potential of the Eu3+/2+ couple in a series of DOTA derivatives with variable number of acetate and glycineamide pendant arms. Ligand 2 and its corresponding Eu3+ complex [Eu(2)] were synthesized and reported for the first time. The shifts observed within the redox potentials for the series of complexes indicate that sequential substitution of amide for carboxylate donor groups in the europium complexes leads to increased oxidative stability of the +2 oxidation state. Among the four complexes studied, europium tetracyglycinate complex Eu(3), with four coordinating amide oxygens, was found to have the most positive redox potential and, therefore, the highest oxidative stability. These results emphasize the influence of ligand structure on fine-tuning oxidative stability and might assist in the design of redox-responsive Eu2+-based MR contrast agents.

**Conclusions**

Figure 2. E1/2 vs. number of amides replaced by carboxylates on a diaza-18-crown-6 scaffold with various ratios of picolineamide and picoline pendant arms[13] and on Eu-DOTA[21] and complexes Eu(1), Eu(2), and Eu(3) presented in this work[18].

[1H NMR (400 MHz, D2O) δ = 31.89, 30.80 and 29.72, 29.53 (4H, br, ring CH2), 3.39 (2H, br, NHCH2CO), 0.32, 0.65, −2.26, −2.57, −3.72, −4.21 (8H, br, NH2), −6.0, −7.46, −8.32 (4H, br, ring eq2), −10.68, −11.30, −11.51, −12.20, −13.54, −14.25, −15.50, −16.66 (8H, br, ring eq′2)].
br, ac) ppm. MS (ESI positive mode) m/z Found, 612.1135; [M + H]+ calculated for C18H32EuN6O10, 669.1403.


Cyclic Voltammetry: A stock solution of pH 7.0 water (molecular biology reagent grade, Sigma) was used for all cyclic voltammetry experiments herein. A solution of KCl (10 mL, 1M) was prepared for the electrochemical cell was charged with the europium complex in water (3 mL, 20 mM), and the resulting solution was stirred and sparged with nitrogen for at least 30 min prior to each electrochemistry experiment. The three electrodes (gold working electrode, platinum auxiliary electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode) were inserted into the cell setup and electrodes (gold working electrode, platinum auxiliary electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode) were inserted into the cell setup and a background scan was recorded within a window of 0 to –1500 mV, with a scan rate of 100 mV/s, and with three sweeps within this window. A lack of oxygen redox signal verified that oxygen had been removed below detectable levels. The working electrode was removed from the cell, polished, and placed aside. The electrochemical cell was charged with the europium complex in water (3 mL, 20 mm), and the resulting solution was stirred and sparged with nitrogen gas for a period of 15 min. The working electrode was placed into the electrochemical cell, and the stirring was stopped before data acquisition. The scan window remained from 0 to –1500 mV, scanned at a rate of 500 mV/s, completing three sweeps within this scan window. The scan window was then adjusted appropriately for each complex of interest starting at –300 mV and scanned at rates of 500, 300, and 100 mV/s.
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