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Abstract: The quinquedentate macrocyclic ligand cyclo-6,6’-[1,9-(2,5,8-trithianonane)]-2,2’-bipyridine ([15janeSubpy] = L), containing two pyridyl nitrogens and three thiaether sulfurs as donor atoms, has been synthesized and complexed with copper. The CuII/L redox potential, the stabilities of the oxidized and reduced complex, and the oxidation and reduction electron-transfer kinetics of the complex reacting with a series of six counter reagents have been studied in acetonitrile at 25 °C, µ = 0.10 M (NaClO4). The Marcus cross relationship has been applied to the rate constants obtained for the reactions with each of the six counter reagents to permit the evaluation of the electron self-exchange rate constant, κ11. The latter value has also been determined independently from NMR line-broadening experiments. The cumulative data are consistent with a value of k11 = 1 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, ranking this among the fastest-reacting CuII systems, on a par with the blue copper proteins known as cupredoxins. The resolved crystal structures show that the geometry of the CuII/L and CuI/L complexes are nearly identical, both exhibiting a five-coordinate square pyramidal geometry with the central sulfur donor atom occupying the apical site. The most notable geometric difference is a puckering of an ethylene bridge between two sulfur donor atoms in the CuI/L complex. Theoretical calculations suggest that the reorganizational energy is relatively small, with the transition-state geometry more closely approximating the geometry of the CuII/L ground state. The combination of a nearly constant geometry and a large self-exchange rate constant implies that this CuII redox system represents a true geometric “entatic state.”

Introduction

Much attention has been given to the unusual properties exhibited by the type-1 copper sites in blue copper proteins including their intense visible absorption peaks, unusual EPR hyperfine splitting, and high redox potentials. However, from a functional standpoint, their most notable property is their rapid electron-transfer kinetics. NMR line-broadening measurements and related techniques have shown that the electron self-exchange rate constants (κ11) for several blue copper proteins (where L represents the coordinated protein)

*CuII/L + CuIL ⇌ *CuII/L + CuI/L

containing a single type-1 copper site (cupredoxins) lie within the range of 10⁵-10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. By contrast, the majority of inorganic CuII complexes exhibit significantly smaller k11 values in the range of 10⁴-10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and Jordan and co-workers have found that the solvated CuII couple in water and in acetonitrile has values of k11 < 10⁻⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.

Vallee and Williams were the first to emphasize that the electron-transfer liability of the blue copper proteins and other
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metalloenzymes might be the result of a structural entatic (i.e., strained) state in which the protein matrix constrains the metal coordination sphere to adopt a geometry closely approximating the transition state normally encountered in similar unconstrained systems, thereby minimizing the reorganization energy. \(^{3,8}\) Subsequent structural determinations for several cupredoxins\(^{9–13}\) have revealed that, in both the oxidized and reduced forms, the copper exists in a trigonal pyramidal coordination environment in which two unsaturated nitrogens (from histidines) and a mercaptide sulfur (cysteine) form the basal plane with an elongated bond to a thiaether sulfur (methionine) at the apical position.\(^{14,15}\) In some cupredoxins, such as azurins, a carbonyl oxygen (from glycine) is coordinated at the opposite apex to generate a distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination sphere which is also maintained in both oxidation states.\(^{11}\) A related red copper protein has a five-coordinate copper site exhibiting a square pyramidal coordination geometry.\(^{16}\)

Both Solomon\(^{17}\) and Ryde\(^{18}\) and their co-workers have carried out theoretical calculations from which they concluded the spectral properties and electron-transfer kinetics of the cupredoxins are primarily attributable to the covalent nature of the Cu–S(mercaptide) bond in the basal plane of the active site. They also found that the electronic effects arising from this strong Cu–S bond give rise to the unusual geometry associated with the type-I Cu site and also facilitate rapid electron transfer. The Cu atom can thus be visualized as existing in an entatic state rather than a simple geometric constraint physically imposed upon the active site by the protein matrix.

The question remains as to whether geometric constraints alone can lead to rapid electron transfer in CuII systems. Several attempts have been reported in the literature, by Stanbury\(^{19–23}\) and others,\(^{24,25}\) to generate CuII complexes maintaining nearly constant geometries in both oxidation states. To date, none of these systems has yielded CuII self-exchange rate constants comparable to those exhibited by the rapidly reacting cupredoxins, and some have, in fact, yielded extremely small \(k_{\text{II}}\) values.\(^{19,20}\) By contrast, the inorganic copper complexes that have been reported to exhibit the largest \(k_{\text{II}}\) values, 26–30 all but one involve the rupture of a single Cu–S(thiation) bond upon reduction, a geometric change that is apparently quite facile.

In the current investigation, we have generated a quinque-dentate ligand incorporating three thiaether sulfurs and a bipyridine moiety within a macrocyclic structure, namely, cyclo-6,6′-[1,9-(2,5,8-trithianonane)]-2,2′-bipyridine ([15]anesS\(_{bp} \equiv L\).\(^{31}\) The coordination geometry of the oxidized complex, [CuII]-

\[
\text{[15]anesS}_{bp} = \begin{array}{c}
\text{N} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{N}
\end{array}
\]

is square pyramidal, paralleling the geometry of two rapidly reacting macrocyclic complexes, [CuII][15]-

\[
(\text{heptadentate thiasandate})\]


(31) This ligand can also be designated as 2,5,8-trithianan-6′,6″-bipyridine based on the nomenclature adopted by Weber and Yögül for similar macrocyclic ligands containing pyridines and thiaether sulfurs: Weber, E.; Yögül, F. Liebig Ann. Chem. 1976, 891–915.
basal plane (Figure 2) to generate a tetrahedral Cu I \( \text{L} \) species. In the current system, the more rigid bipyridine moiety makes it difficult to rupture a Cu... 

In support of the current investigation, theoretical calculations employing density functional theory (DFT) were carried out to determine the relative energy barrier associated with the small ligand reorganization accompanying electron transfer in \([\text{Cu}^{1+}([15\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy})]]^{2+}\). The results of these calculations provide insight into the probable reaction pathway for the overall electron-transfer reaction. Additional calculations have also been made on previously reported coordination-invariant Cu\( ^{1+} \) systems for comparative purposes.

**Experimental Section**

**Ligand Synthesis.** The synthesis of [15]aneS\(_3\)bpy was carried out using the generalized high-dilution cyclocondensation methodology employed to prepare macrocyclic polythiaethers, including the general separation and characterization techniques previously described.\(^{(33)}\) The essential synthon—6,6′-bis(chloromethyl)-2,2′-bipyridine—was prepared by chlorination of 6,6′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine,\(^{(34)}\) which in turn was prepared by the in situ generated nickel(0) coupling of 2-bromo-6-methylpyridine.\(^{(35)}\) In 0.5 L of anhydrous DMF was dissolved 16.0 g (0.063 mol) of 6,6′-bis(chloromethyl)-2,2′-bipyridine along with 9.8 g (0.063 mol) of 2-mercaptoethyl sulfide (Aldrich Chemical Co., #M400–7). The solution was added under an argon atmosphere at a rate of 0.5 mL/min to a stirred suspension of 20.7 g (0.15 mol) of powdered anhydrous potassium carbonate in 1 L of DMF, maintained at 85–100°C for the reaction duration. The filter cake and vacuum evaporation residue from the reaction were dispersed in 1 L of methylene chloride and washed with three 300-mL portions of 2 M sodium chloride brine, anhydrous potassium carbonate in 1 L of DMF, maintained at 85–100°C for the reaction duration. The filter cake and vacuum evaporation residue from the reaction were dispersed in 1 L of methylene chloride and washed with three 300-mL portions of 2 M sodium chloride brine, dried with MgSO\(_4\), and vacuum filtered and concentrated to 21 g of semisolid amber residue. The residue was flash eluted to remove higher polymers with 1.3 L of toluene through a 3 × 40 cm silica gel column. The 13 g of recovered elution residue were fractionally chromato¬
graphed with 50:50 toluene/hexane through a 3 cm × 50 cm silica gel column. By TLC analysis the major product fractions, \( R_f \) 0.55, 5-95 ethyl acetate/toluene, were combined and recrystallized twice from 30:70 toluene/hexane to yield 5.13 g (24.4%) of colorless plates, mp = 149–151°C. \(^{13}C\) NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) in ppm (multiplicity): 31.99 (t), 32.79 (t), 36.63 (t), 120.01 (d), 122.54 (d), 137.74 (d), 155.10 (s), 160.51 (s). EI-MS, m/z (relative intensity): 334 M\(^+\) (67), 301 (14), 273 (88), 248 (100), 214 (78), 184 (33), 154 (15); electrospray MS, m/z: 335.100 MH\(^+\), 357.06 MNa\(^+\), 372.04 MK\(^+\), 691.00 M\(_2\)Na\(^+\). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{18}\)N\(_2\)S\(_3\): C, 57.45; H, 5.42; S, 28.76. Found: C, 57.22, H, 5.40; S, 28.50.

**Reagents.** The reducing agent [Co\(^{1+}\)(dmg)(BC\(_6\)H\(_5\)\(_2\))]\(^+\) (dmg = dimethylglyoxime; BC\(_6\)H\(_5\)\(_2\) = phenylborate ion) was prepared using the method of Borchardt and Wheeler\(^{(16)}\) as modified by Stanbury and co-workers.\(^{(19)}\) All other counter reagents were prepared by literature methods of Borchardt and Wherland\(^{(16)}\) as modified by Stanbury and co-workers.\(^{(19)}\) All other counter reagents were prepared by literature methods.

methods as previously described. \(^{(37-39)}\) Copper(II) perchlorate was prepared by the slow addition of perchloric acid to solid copper carbonate\(^{(40)}\) on an ice bath and was then recrystallized from acetonitrile to remove the waters of hydration. (Warning! All metal perchlorate salts are potentially explosive and should be handled with extreme care. These compounds should be prepared in small quantities and should never be dried.) Copper(I) perchlorate was prepared by crystallization from acetonitrile using the method of Hathaway et al.\(^{(41)}\) All aqueous solutions were prepared using conductivity grade distilled—deionized water. Acetonitrile solutions were prepared with HPLC grade solvent (Fisher Scientific) which has been shown to contain less than 0.02% water (by wt).\(^{(38)}\) The addition of small amounts of added water had no effect upon the experimental results; therefore, no attempt was made to reduce the residual water content. The concentrations of all counter reagent solutions were determined spectrophotometrically. Copper solutions in both water and acetonitrile were first oxidized to Cu\(^{2+}\) and then standardized by EDTA titration. Ligand solutions were standardized by potentiometric titrations with a standard mercury(II) solution.

**X-Ray Structural Determinations.** Diffraction data for [Cu\(^{II}\)(15\([\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy}])\text{ClO}_4\)]\(_2\), \(^{(42)}\) were collected on a Bruker P4/CCD diffractometer equipped with Mo radiation and a graphite monochromator at room temperature. Data collection proceeded on a red needle approximately 0.4 \(\times\) 0.06 \(\times\) 0.04 mm\(^3\). A sphere of data was measured at 10 s/frame and 0.3\(^{\circ}\) between frames. A total of 1650 frames was collected, yielding 14834 reflections, of which 4876 were independent. The frame data were indexed and integrated with the manufacturer’s SMART, SAINT, and SADABS software.\(^{(43)}\) All structures were refined using Shelldrick’s SHELX-97 software.\(^{(44)}\) The hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. All samples examined exhibited some degree of twinning, and this best data set was the result of the extraction of the major component with the program GEMINI. The complex crystallizes with two perchlorate counterions.

Diffraction data for the [Cu\(^{II}\)(15\([\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy}])\text{ClO}_4\)] complex were measured on a Bruker APEX-II \(\kappa\) geometry diffractometer\(^{(42)}\) with Mo radiation and a graphite monochromator at 100 K. A green-brown rod approximately 0.45 \(\times\) 0.08 \(\times\) 0.08 mm\(^3\) was used for data collection. A total of 1083 frames was collected at 10 s/frame, yielding 12392 reflections of which 4463 were independent. Frames were collected as a series of sweeps with the detector at 40 mm and 0.3\(^{\circ}\) between each frame. Hydrogen atoms were placed in observed positions. The complex crystallizes with two perchlorate counterions, which showed typical perchlorate disorder. The oxygen atoms were modeled in partially occupied sites held isotopically.

**NMR Measurements.** The NMR spectrum for [Cu\(^{II}\)(15\([\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy}])\text{ClO}_4\)]\(_2\) and line-broadening measurements in deuterated acetonitrile were made with a Mercury 400 NMR spectrometer using an appropriate pulse sequence. A solution of Cu\(^{2+}\) was prepared by conproportionation by stirring a Cu\(^{2+}\) solution with excess fine copper shot. The solution was then decanted, and the resultant Cu\(^{2+}\) solution concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 390 nm.

**Instrumentation.** The potentials of all reagents were determined by slow-scan cyclic voltammetry (CV) in acetonitrile using a BAS-100 electrochemical analyzer (Bioanalytical Systems, West Lafayette, IN) equipped with a 3-mm glassy-carbon disk working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and an aqueous Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Ferrocene (Sigma Chemical Co) was used as an internal or external standard. All kinetic measurements were made using a Durrum D-110 stopped-flow spectrophotometer that incorporated a modified flow system from Tritech Scientific Ltd. (Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) with an A/D interface to a PC. The modified flow system contained all Kel-F and glass fittings to prevent degradation when using acetonitrile as the solvent. This instrument was thermostatted at 25.0 \(\pm\) 0.2 °C, using a circulating constant temperature bath. Ionic strength was maintained at 0.10 M using NaClO\(_4\) recrystallized from acetonitrile.

**Computational Details.** All calculations were performed using the development version of the Gaussian suite\(^{(44)}\) employing the TPSS meta-GGA density functional.\(^{(45)}\) Scalar-relativistic effects were taken into account via the relativistic effective-core potential of Dolg et al.\(^{(46)}\) for the copper center,\(^{(46)}\) while spin–orbit effects have been ignored. Ligand orbitals were described by the 6-311+G(d) basis set.\(^{(47-49)}\) Geometries were optimized for all Cu\(^{2+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) complexes using the B3LYP algorithm.\(^{(50)}\) Analytic harmonic vibrational frequencies were computed to ensure that the optimized structures were minima on the potential energy surface and to provide zero-point energy corrections.

**Results**

**Redox Potentials.** As illustrated in Figure 3, the cyclic voltammogram for [Cu\(^{III}\)(15\([\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy}])\text{ClO}_4\)]\(_2\) is reversible at slow scan rates (20 mV s\(^{-1}\)) in acetonitrile with a value of \(E_{1/2} = -0.127\) V vs ferrocene (Fc).\(^{(51)}\) Formal potential values for [Ru\(^{III}\)(NH\(_3\))\(_5\text{py}\)]\(^{3+/2+}\), [Co\(^{III}\)(phen)]\(^{2+/1+}\), and [Co\(^{II}\)(bpy)]\(^{3+/2+}\) were determined using a D-110 stopped-flow spectrophotometer that incorporated a modified flow system from Tritech Scientific Ltd. (Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) with an A/D interface to a PC. The modified flow system contained all Kel-F and glass fittings to prevent degradation when using acetonitrile as the solvent. This instrument was thermostatted at 25.0 \(\pm\) 0.2 °C, using a circulating constant temperature bath. Ionic strength was maintained at 0.10 M using NaClO\(_4\) recrystallized from acetonitrile.

**Figure 3.** Cyclic voltammogram for [Cu\(^{II}\)(15\([\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy}])\text{ClO}_4\)]\(_2\) in acetonitrile at ambient temperature, \(\mu = 0.10\) M (ClO\(_4\)), \(\nu = 20\) mV s\(^{-1}\). Potentials shown are vs Ag/AgCl reference electrode (E\(_{Ag/AgCl}\) = -0.127 V vs ferrocene).

---

\(^{(43)}\) Sheldrick, G. *SHELX-97; University of Göttingen:* Germany, 1997.
Table 1. Physical Parameters for All Reagents in Acetonitrile at 25 °C, \( \mu = 0.10 \) M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reagent [^a]</th>
<th>( E^f ) vs FC</th>
<th>( 10^3 \varepsilon ) ( \text{cm}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \lambda_{\text{max}} ) ( \text{nm} )</th>
<th>( 10^{-3} \varepsilon )</th>
<th>( k_0 ) ( M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cu}^{II}([15]\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy})]^2+)</td>
<td>-0.127 [^c]</td>
<td>4.4 [^b]</td>
<td>390 [^b]</td>
<td>5.5 [^b]</td>
<td>2.54 [^c]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^3+)</td>
<td>-0.088 [^c]</td>
<td>5.9 [^b]</td>
<td>354 [^b]</td>
<td>6.05 [^b]</td>
<td>1.18 [^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{dmg})(\text{BCl}_3)_2])</td>
<td>-0.212 [^c]</td>
<td>5.9 [^b]</td>
<td>354 [^b]</td>
<td>6.05 [^b]</td>
<td>1.18 [^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^3+)</td>
<td>-0.012 [^c]</td>
<td>5.9 [^b]</td>
<td>354 [^b]</td>
<td>6.05 [^b]</td>
<td>1.18 [^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}]^3+)</td>
<td>-0.035 [^c]</td>
<td>5.9 [^b]</td>
<td>354 [^b]</td>
<td>6.05 [^b]</td>
<td>1.18 [^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Co}^{III}((\text{bpy})_3\text{phen})]^3+)</td>
<td>-0.076 [^c]</td>
<td>5.9 [^b]</td>
<td>354 [^b]</td>
<td>6.05 [^b]</td>
<td>1.18 [^b]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[^a\] For ligand abbreviations, see text. \[^b\] Effective ionic radius. \[^c\] This work.

Assumed to be identical to the corresponding isonicotinamide complex.


in acetonitrile (py = pyridine; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; bpy = 2,2’-bipyridine) were determined in a similar fashion as part of the current work. Potential values for \([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{bpy}]^{3+/2+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{II}([15]\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy})]^2+/1+\) taken from the literature (isn = isonicotinamidinate, dmg = dimethylglyoxime). The physical parameters for all reagents used in this work are listed in Table 1.

**Stability Constants.** As noted in reports on previously studied CuII systems, the stability of CuII complexes is enhanced in acetonitrile relative to water, whereas the affinity of CuI for acetonitrile causes the reduced complexes to decrease in stability. The diminished stability of the \([\text{Cu}^{II}([15]\text{aneS}_3\text{bpy})]^+\) complex, combined with its sizable absorbance in the vicinity of 390 nm, made it possible to determine the stability constant of the reduced complex in acetonitrile by the spectrophotometric approach of McConnell and Davidson as represented by the relationship:

\[
b_{\text{CuL}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{CuL}}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{CuL}}' \varepsilon_{\text{CuL}}' [\text{Cu}^+]} \quad (2)
\]

In this expression, \( b \) represents the cell path length, \( C_l \) is the total ligand concentration in solution, \( \varepsilon_{\text{CuL}} \) is the molar absorptivity of the reduced complex, \( K_{\text{CuL}}' \) is the conditional CuL stability constant, and \( [\text{Cu}^+] \) is the concentration of uncomplexed copper(I). Because the value of \([\text{Cu}^+]\) was not known initially, the total CuL concentration (\( C_{\text{CuL}} \)) was substituted for this term to obtain an initial estimate of \( K_{\text{CuL}}' \); this estimated stability constant value was then used to correct for the amount of uncomplexed CuI (i.e., \([\text{Cu}^+] = C_{\text{Cu}^+} - [\text{CuL}] \)) in an iterative manner until a consistent value of \( K_{\text{CuL}}' \) was obtained. In the presence of large CuI concentrations, the absorbance values tended to decrease abnormally, thereby causing a plot of \( b_{\text{CuL}}/A \) vs \([\text{Cu}^+]^{-1} \) to become nonlinear. After extensive investigation, it was determined that this problem resulted from an apparent autoxidation of the CuL complex under these conditions (perhaps by O2) since the initial absorbance could be regenerated upon electrolysis. Therefore, data from solutions containing large \([\text{Cu}^+] \) values were not included in the final data analysis. From the linear portion of the plot of eq 2, the slope and intercept yielded the following values in acetonitrile at 25.0 °C, \( \mu = 0.10 \) (ClO4-): \( K_{\text{CuL}}' = (3.15 \pm 0.14) \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{\text{CuL}} = (2.72 \pm 0.04) \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1} \) (390 nm). (This value is similar to that found for \([\text{Cu}^{II}([14]\text{aneS}_2)]^{2+}\) in acetonitrile: \( K_{\text{CuL}}' = 1.7 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \)). An independent measurement of the molar absorptivity was obtained by adding large excess amounts of ligand to solutions of CuI. A series of such measurements yielded an average value of \( \varepsilon_{\text{CuL}} \) = 2.54 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}. \) The latter value was used for all subsequent calculations.

The stability constant for the oxidized complex in acetonitrile was calculated from the measured CuII/L redox potential using the Nernst equation in the form:

\[
E^f = E^f_{\text{solv}} - \frac{2.303 RT}{nF} \log \frac{K_{\text{CuL}}'}{K_{\text{CuL}}^*} \quad (3)
\]

where \( E^f \) represents the formal potential value obtained in acetonitrile (vs ferrocene) for the CuII/L redox couple from slow-scan cyclic voltammetry, \( E^f_{\text{solv}} = 0.660 \text{V} \) (vs ferrocene) represents the potential for the solvated CuII/L couple in acetonitrile obtained in a similar manner in 0.10 M NaClO4, and the other terms have their conventional meanings. Equation 3 yielded a value of \( K_{\text{CuL}}' = 6.3 \times 10^{17} \text{M}^{-1}. \)

**Reaction Kinetics.** The kinetics for CuI oxidation were studied with a series of four oxidants (Aox); and the kinetics of the reduction reactions for CuII/L were similarly measured using two counter reductants (Ared) according to the general reaction:

\[
\text{CuI/L} + A_{\text{Red}} \rightarrow \frac{K_{\text{CuI/L}}}{k_{11}} \text{CuI} + A_{\text{ox}} \quad (4)
\]

The reactions involving the oxidation of CuII by \([\text{Co}^{III}((\text{bpy})_3\text{phen})]^3+\) and \([\text{Co}^{III}((\text{bpy})_3\text{phen})]^3+\) were run under pseudo-first-order conditions with the counter reagent present in excess. For reactions in which the cobalt reagent was present in less than 10-fold excess, only the initial portion of the reaction was used in analyzing the data. Pseudo-first-order conditions were also used in studying the CuI/L reduction kinetics with \([\text{Cu}^{II}((\text{dmg})(\text{BCl}_3)_2]^+-\), except that, in that case, the CuI/L species was present in large excess. The reduction study involving CuI/L reacting with \([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{bpy}]^{3+}\) and the oxidation studies in which \([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{bpy}]^{3+}\) and \([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{bpy}]^{3+}\) served as the counter reagents were carried out under second-order conditions, the latter two reactions being very rapid. Each reaction was run approximately 10 times for each set of reaction concentrations. The resolved second-order rate constants obtained for all six cross-reactions are listed in Table 2. (Individual rate constant data may be accessed as Supporting Information.)
Table 2. Cross-Reaction Rate Constants and Experimental and Calculated Self-Exchange Rate Constants in Acetonitrile at 25 °C, \( \mu = 0.10 \) M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent</th>
<th>( 10^{-4} k_{12} ) or ( k_{21} ) (M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>log ( k_{11} ) (M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMR line-broadening</td>
<td>5.3, 5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru}^{III}(\text{NH}_3)_5 \text{py}]^{2+})</td>
<td>0.21(3)</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Co}^{III}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+})</td>
<td>0.0167(6)</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Co}^{III}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+})</td>
<td>3.2(4)</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Co}^{III}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+})</td>
<td>0.00159(6)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_4 \text{bpy}]^{3+})</td>
<td>0.00055(1)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses represent standard deviations in terms of the last digits shown: e.g., 0.21(3) = 0.21 ± 0.03.

Self-Exchange Rate Constant Values. The second-order cross-reaction rate constants, \( k_{12} \) and \( k_{21} \), were inserted into the Marcus cross-relationship\(^59\) as rearranged to the form,\(^6\)

\[
k_{11} = \frac{(k_{12})^2}{k_{22}Q_{DP}(W_{12})^2} \quad \text{OR} \quad k_{11} = \frac{(k_{21})^2}{k_{22}Q_{DP}(W_{21})^2}
\]

(5)

to obtain estimates of the electron self-exchange rate constant for the \([\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{2+}\) redox couple, \( k_{11} \), from each of the six cross-reactions. The individual terms in eq 5 include the self-exchange rate constant for each counter reagent, \( k_{22} \), Table 2, and the reaction equilibrium constant, \( K_{12} \) or \( K_{21} \), as calculated from the difference in the redox potentials of the two reactants involved (Table 2). Of the remaining terms, \( f_{12} \) and \( f_{21} \) represent nonlinear correction terms, and \( W_{12} \) and \( W_{21} \) are electrostatic work terms that can be calculated as previously described.\(^39,60\) The values for the effective ionic radius (r) for each reactant, as used to calculate the work term values,\(^60\) are included in Table 1. (It should be noted that the \( k_{11} \) value obtained from the oxidation of \( \text{Cu}^{II} \) by \( [\text{Ru}^{III}(\text{NH}_3)_4 \text{bpy}]^{3+} \) is the least reliable since the reaction rate constant was exceptionally large \( (k_{21} \approx 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \) relative to the detection limits of the stopped-flow instrument used.\(^61\)

NMR Measurements. As illustrated in Figure 4, the NMR spectrum of \([\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{+}\) exhibits a doublet centered at 3.1 ppm (a) and singlets at 4.2 (b), 7.6 (c), and 8.1 ppm (d) with peak ratios of 4:2:1:2, respectively. This spectrum is consistent with the peak assignments shown below assuming full complexation by all five donor atoms: A limited independent

check on the value of the self-exchange rate constant for \([\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{2+}\) was conducted using NMR line-broadening measurements in deuterated acetonitrile at 25 °C. Small amounts of \( \text{Cu}^{II} \) were added to a stock \( \text{Cu}^{II} \) solution, and the line widths of the selected peaks were measured. The ionic strengths of the solutions were calculated from the known concentrations of the constituent species and corrected to 0.10 M as previously described.\(^26\) The data were then plotted in the form:\(^26\)

\[
W_{DP}Q\pi = W_{0}Q\pi + k_{11}[\text{Cu}^{III}L]
\]

(6)

In this expression, \( W_{DP} \) is the peak width at half-height of the selected singlet proton resonance peak for a solution containing both the diamagnetic (\( \text{Cu}^{II} \)) and paramagnetic (\( \text{Cu}^{III} \)) species, \( W_{0} \) is the corresponding width for a solution containing only the diamagnetic species, and \( Q (=1.97) \) is a factor used to correct the extent of outer-sphere complex formation at the specific ionic strength used to a value of \( \mu = 0.10 \) M.\(^26\)

Preliminary NMR line-broadening measurements, based on the line width of the 7.6 ppm peak, yielded \( k_{11} = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for 25 °C, corrected to \( \mu = 0.10 \) M.\(^26\) A more carefully prepared series of solutions run under similar conditions yielded \( k_{11} = (4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) based on the 7.6 ppm peak width and \( (4.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) based on the peak at 4.2 ppm. (Experimental data for the latter series are available as Supporting Information.)

Structural Determinations. In conjunction with the current investigation, we have determined the crystal structures for the perchlorate salts of both the oxidized and reduced complexes: \([\text{Cu}^{IV}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{IV}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{2+}\). In both complexes the copper atom is coordinated to all five donor atoms, in agreement with the NMR spectrum. Crystallographic data are listed in Table 3. The bond lengths and bond angles of primary interest for both structures are presented in Table 4. Figure 5 shows the superimposed ORTEP views of the \([\text{Cu}^{IV}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{IV}(\text{[15]aneS}_3 \text{bpy})]^{4+}\) cationic units. In both cases, the perchlorate oxygens are more than 4 Å away from the copper atom.

Theoretical Calculations. Previous attempts within our laboratory to make meaningful theoretical calculations regarding
Table 3. Crystal Parameters and Experimental Data for X-ray Diffraction Measurements on the Copper(I) and Copper(II) Complexes Formed with [15]aneSbpy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emp. form.</td>
<td>Cu($^{\text{Cu}}$)$_2$S$_3$Cl$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>Cu($^{\text{Cu}}$)$_2$S$_3$ClO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw</td>
<td>596.94</td>
<td>579.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C$_2/c$</td>
<td>C$_2/c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$, Å</td>
<td>38.152(13)</td>
<td>38.0999(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$, Å</td>
<td>5.4157(15)</td>
<td>5.4127(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$, Å</td>
<td>20.548(7)</td>
<td>20.6599(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$, deg</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$, deg</td>
<td>98.457(9)</td>
<td>120.60(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$, deg</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$, Å$^3$</td>
<td>4199(2)</td>
<td>3709(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$, K</td>
<td>295(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{total}}, g\text{ cm}^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.888</td>
<td>1.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$, mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>1.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(F)$, $I &gt; 2\sigma$</td>
<td>0.0694</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_w(F^2)$, $I &gt; 2\sigma$</td>
<td>0.1672</td>
<td>0.1231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a\lambda = 0.71703$ Å, $^bR(F) = \Sigma|F_o|^2 - |F_i|^2/\Sigma|F_i|^2$ for 20$I$ reflections.

Invar$^{\text{Cu}}$ systems had been relatively qualitative, being limited both by the low level of theory used and the difficulty of treating the systems had been relatively qualitative, being limited both by the energy barriers associated with electron transfer in Cu$^{\text{II}}$ systems had been relatively qualitative, being limited both by the low level of theory used and the difficulty of treating the.

While it is not possible to model directly the Cu$^{\text{II}}$/Cu$^{\text{II}}$ redox reaction energetics due to the complications of properly representing a solvated electron, it is possible to investigate those parts of the reaction path not involving the electron-transfer step itself. In order for the outer-sphere self-exchange electron transfer (eq 1) to occur, the energy of the system containing two Cu centers, denoted *CuL + CuL, with the redox active electron localized on *CuL, must equal the system’s energy with the electron localized on CuL. As a result of the C$_2$ symmetry of the self-exchange system’s potential energy surface, in the absence of a perturbing field, this energetic coincidence will occur when both oxidation states obtain the coincidence geometry, $q_e$. It is important to note that identical coincidence geometries for both oxidation states is not a requirement for electron transfer but, rather, a manifestation of the underlying particle indistinguishability in self-exchange reactions. Assigning a diabatic potential energy surface to the reactants, $q_1$, and products, $q_2$, we arrive at the standard Marcus two-state energy diagram for a symmetric ($\Delta G^\circ = 0$) electron-transfer reaction in Figure 6a.

To probe the energetics associated with merging the two oxidation-state geometries toward $q_e$, we followed the four-point method of Nelsen et al.$^{63}$ employing a complete set of redundant internal coordinates. Energies were calculated at seven equidistant points linearly interpolated between the Cu$^{\text{II}}$/Cu$^{\text{II}}$ and Cu$^{\text{II}}$/Cu$^{\text{II}}$ ground-state geometries to determine the electronic component of $\Delta G^\circ$ for both the C$_1$ and C$_2$ surfaces. Since two surfaces are available and energetically accessible for the $[15]{\text{aneSbpy}}$ complexes, transition states (TSs) connecting the C$_1$ and C$_2$ ground states were located for both oxidation states (see Table 6). Although both surfaces are accessible at room temperature, the Cu$^{\text{II}}$ barrier for C$_1$ to C$_2$ interconversion is 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$ lower than the Cu$^{\text{II}}$/Cu$^{\text{II}}$ barrier.

For comparative purposes, the same optimization and redox-reaction path approaches were applied to the five-coordinate invariant Cu$^{\text{II}}$ systems involving the acyclic ligands previously reported by Stanbury and co-workers, designated as (py)$_2$DAP,$^{21}$ (imidH)$_2$DAP,$^{21}$ (imidR)$_2$DAP,$^{21}$ and (imidR)$_2$DAP,$^{21}$ for which the results are listed in Table 5.

Discussion

For all six cross-reactions studied in this work, the calculated electron self-exchange rate constants are included in Table 2 as logarithmic $k_1$ values. It will be noted that all such values are within an order of magnitude of the corresponding values obtained from NMR line-broadening measurements. This represents an acceptable level of agreement, considering the large number of variables involved in the calculations and the fact that any errors in the experimental $k_2$ or $k_3$ values become squared when calculating $k_1$ (eq 5).$^6$ From the combined self-exchange and cross-reaction kinetic data, we conclude that $k_1 = 1 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]([15]{\text{aneSbpy}})]^{2+}$ system.

In several Cu$^{\text{II}}$/Cu$^{\text{II}}$ systems previously studied, the $k_1$ values calculated from oxidation reactions differed from those calculated from reductions.$^{29,39,60,65}$ This phenomenon has been

The calculated geometries at the sequential points are based on taking the differences in the bond lengths and bond angles between the ground-state C$_1$ geometry of Cu$^{\text{II}}$, and the ground-state C$_2$ geometry of Cu$^{\text{II}}$, and altering each length and angle by one-eighth of these differences for each sequential point.


attributed to ligand conformational changes occurring in a sequential, rather than a concerted, manner with the electron-transfer step. Since the two processes can occur in either order, two competing mechanistic pathways are accessible, one of which involves a metastable CuII L species (Q) and the other a metastable CuI L analogue (P) as illustrated in Scheme 1. For most of the studies conducted in our laboratory in which both pathways have been accessed, the more favored pathway has been the one designated as Pathway A in Scheme 1.29,39,66 This implies that the CuI L metastable intermediate, CuI L(P), is relatively more stable than the corresponding CuII L intermediate, CuII L(Q), in those systems. However, for complexes with some tripodal ligands65 and for the bis complexes with some substituted derivatives of bipyridyl ligands studied by Takagi and co-workers71,72 Pathway B appears to be more favorable.

In the current system, the same k11 value was obtained for both oxidation and reduction within experimental error. Under these circumstances, the data obtained for both the oxidation and reduction reactions are presumed to be proceeding by the same reaction pathway, implying that the ground-state species

Table 4. Average Bond Distances and Bond Angles in the Cationic Units of [CuII([15]aneS3bpy)](ClO4)2 and [CuI([15]aneS3bpy)]ClO4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bond distance/angle</th>
<th><a href="ClO4">CuII([15]aneS3bpy)</a>2</th>
<th>[CuI([15]aneS3bpy)]ClO4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu–S(1), Å</td>
<td>2.300(3)</td>
<td>2.4226(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–S(2), Å</td>
<td>2.475(2)</td>
<td>2.3219(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–S(3), Å</td>
<td>2.314(2)</td>
<td>2.3881(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–N(1), Å</td>
<td>1.954(7)</td>
<td>2.136(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–N(2), Å</td>
<td>1.953(7)</td>
<td>2.141(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1)–Cu–S(2), deg</td>
<td>90.91(8)</td>
<td>91.92(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(2)–Cu–S(3), deg</td>
<td>90.69(8)</td>
<td>93.36(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(2)–Cu–N(1), deg</td>
<td>99.3(2)</td>
<td>105.33(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(2)–Cu–N(2), deg</td>
<td>98.9(2)</td>
<td>113.00(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1)–Cu–S(3), deg</td>
<td>105.26(9)</td>
<td>115.95(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1)–Cu–N(2), deg</td>
<td>85.9(2)</td>
<td>81.10(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1)–Cu–N(1), deg</td>
<td>165.2(2)</td>
<td>154.76(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(3)–Cu–N(1), deg</td>
<td>85.5(2)</td>
<td>81.87(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(3)–Cu–N(2), deg</td>
<td>165.2(2)</td>
<td>148.70(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–Cu–N(2), deg</td>
<td>51.9(3)</td>
<td>75.29(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu displacement, Å</td>
<td>+0.168(3)</td>
<td>+0.369(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Displacement of the Cu atom from the S2N2 plane. The positive values represent displacement of the Cu toward the axial S donor atom.

Figure 5. Superimposed ORTEP drawings of the cationic unit in the crystal structure of the oxidized (red) and reduced (blue) complex for [CuII([15]aneS3bpy)]2+/+ showing the atom numbering scheme. The most notable structural change involves a “puckering” of the C1 and C2 carbon atoms on going from CuII L to CuI L. Ellipsoids represent 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 6. Two-state potential energy diagram in (a) two- and (b) three-dimensions. The energy at the coincidence geometry, q0, in (a), is the diabatic activation energy, ΔG‡, λ is the reorganization energy, and ΔG‡ is the activation energy. The grayscale surface in (b) is Ψ1 and the colored surface is Ψ2. The intersection of Ψ1 and Ψ2 is the seam of all possible coincidence geometries. The self-exchange ground states, q1 and q2 in part (a), correspond to points (0,0,0) and (1,1,0) in part (b), respectively. At (0,0,1) both Cu centers are in the CuI L ground-state geometry, while at (1,1,1) both centers have adopted the ground-state geometry of CuII L.

and reduction reactions are presumed to be proceeding by the same reaction pathway, implying that the ground-state species

that the same pattern of behavior has been observed in coordination-invariant CuII/I systems based solely on application of the Marcus relationship to cross-reactions.24-25 By contrast, the $k_{11}$ values reported for Stanbury and co-workers for [CuII(bib)2]2+/+10 and [CuII(bite)]1+/+,20 both of which are presumed to remain four-coordinate upon electron transfer, are remarkably small, an observation that has not yet been satisfactorily explained.

Recently, Fujisawa and co-workers73 reported the first electron-transfer kinetic study on a CuII complex containing a Cu–(S-mercaptide) bond which maintains four-coordination in both oxidation states. The complex involves a coordinated tripodal ligand, hydrotris(3,5-diisopropyl-1-pyrazolyl)borate ligand (HB(3,5-Meimid)PO3)3, plus a pentafuorobenzenethiolate ligand (CF3S−), the latter of which provides a coordinated mercaptide sulfur donor atom. On the basis of NMR line-broadening measurements in deuterated acetone at -20 to -60 °C, they reported a value of $k_{11} = 3.14 	imes 10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ (corrected to 25 °C).

The Supporting Information provided with Fujisawa’s article reveals that the experimental line width plots were so badly curved that the asymptotic slopes obtained from the lowest and highest CuII concentrations differ by an order of magnitude at each temperature studied. Thus, not only are the individual $k_{11}$ values for each experimental temperature ill-defined, but the curvature also leaves some doubt as to whether the data actually represent line-broadening due to electron exchange. At the very least, the uncertainty associated with the line width trend at each temperature, plus the uncertainty inherent in extrapolating the low-temperature data to +25 °C, suggests that their self-exchange rate constant is, at best, known only to the nearest order of magnitude, that is, $k_{11} \approx 10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$. If the latter value has validity, it would appear to corroborate Solomon and Ryde’s conclusions regarding the impact of the electronic effects induced by the copper–mercaptide sulfur bond upon electron-transfer kinetics.17,18 However, it leaves open the question as to whether similar rapid electron-transfer kinetics can be observed in coordination-invariant CuII systems based solely on the type of geometric entatic state originally envisioned by Vallee and Williams.

To understand our theoretical results, we begin by defining the distortion energy for a particular set of nuclear coordinates as the electronic energy at that geometry minus the electronic energy of the ground-state geometry for a particular oxidation state. In terms of distortion energies, self-exchange electron transfer occurs when the total distortion energy of the CuII-L +...
Cu II L and Cu I L into the ground-state geometries, where the ground-state geometries plus the imidazole-containing ligands, the activation barrier is ~2 kJ mol⁻¹ larger. This system has been reported to react more slowly than its imidazole analogues. Table 5 also reveals that, among the investigated five-coordinate ligand systems, the smallest diabatic activation barrier is seen for the [Cu II (15]aneS 3 bpy)]²⁺/⁺ system, which has the least ligand flexibility and exhibits the largest k₁₁ value. The rough parallel between the calculated ΔE* values and the experimentally obtained k₁₁ values for these five five-coordinate invariant systems tends to support the validity of our computational approach.

The foregoing calculations omit any contribution of the outer-sphere solvent reorganization that may, in fact, be very significant. In an attempt to assess the influence of the surrounding acetonitrile solvent shell upon the solvent reorganization energy, we repeated the calculations for the [15]aneS 3 bpy system employing the integral equation formalism of the polarizable continuum model (IEF-PCM) with a dielectric value of 36.64. For both the C₁ and C₂ surfaces, the relative energy difference of the two ground-state species decreased slightly for each oxidation state as shown in Table 6. Interestingly, the relative energies of the C₁ and C₂ surfaces reverse so that the C₁ geometry for [Cu II (15]aneS 3 bpy)]²⁺/⁺, which was observed in the crystal structure, is now the ground state. These new structures were used to generate solvated total distortion curves for Figure 7 in the same manner as described above. It should be noted that the geometries plotted on the abscissa differ for each phase and geometry. Although the transition states for both oxidation states have lower energies in solution, the CuI barrier for C₁-to-C₂ interconversion is still 3 kJ mol⁻¹ smaller than the Cu II barrier. In the gas-phase, ΔE* is 12.60 and 13.01 kJ mol⁻¹ into the relative energetics involved in achieving the common coincidence geometry that must exist for self-exchange electron transfer to occur between Cu I L and Cu II L.

For comparative purposes, the computed gas-phase, electronic components of the diabatic activation energy, ΔE*, have been calculated for the other five-coordinate invariant systems previously studied by Stanbury and co-workers. The results are summarized in Table 5. Once again, these calculations are based on the presumption of a concerted mechanism. It is noted that the acyclic ligands containing imidazole groups bound to Cu have activation energies clustered around 16 kJ mol⁻¹. Although the (py)₃DAP ligand has the same conformational flexibility as the imidazole-containing ligands, the activation barrier is ~2 kJ mol⁻¹ larger. This system has been reported to react more slowly than its imidazole analogues. Table 5 also reveals that, among the investigated five-coordinate ligand systems, the smallest diabatic activation barrier is seen for the [Cu II, (15]aneS 3 bpy)]²⁺/⁺ system, which has the least ligand flexibility and exhibits the largest k₁₁ value. The rough parallel between the calculated ΔE* values and the experimentally obtained k₁₁ values for these five five-coordinate invariant systems tends to support the validity of our computational approach.

The foregoing calculations omit any contribution of the outer-sphere solvent reorganization that may, in fact, be very significant. In an attempt to assess the influence of the surrounding acetonitrile solvent shell upon the solvent reorganization energy, we repeated the calculations for the [15]aneS 3 bpy system employing the integral equation formalism of the polarizable continuum model (IEF-PCM) with a dielectric value of 36.64. For both the C₁ and C₂ surfaces, the relative energy difference of the two ground-state species decreased slightly for each oxidation state as shown in Table 6. Interestingly, the relative energies of the C₁ and C₂ surfaces reverse so that the C₁ geometry for [Cu II (15]aneS 3 bpy)]²⁺/⁺, which was observed in the crystal structure, is now the ground state. These new structures were used to generate solvated total distortion curves for Figure 7 in the same manner as described above. It should be noted that the geometries plotted on the abscissa differ for each phase and geometry. Although the transition states for both oxidation states have lower energies in solution, the CuI barrier for C₁-to-C₂ interconversion is still 3 kJ mol⁻¹ smaller than the Cu II barrier. In the gas-phase, ΔE* is 12.60 and 13.01 kJ mol⁻¹.
for the C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>s</sub> surfaces, respectively, indicating that the C<sub>1</sub>
pathway is only slightly more favorable. With the inclusion of
continuum solvation effects, the corresponding activation ener-
gies are 15.08 and 16.12 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>s</sub> surfaces,
respectively.

Conclusion

Comba has provided an extensive analysis of the entatic state
concept, including attempts made to generate Cu<sup>II</sup>/L systems
which provide physical constraints intended to generate geo-
metric entatic states in accordance with the original concept espoused by Vallee and Williams.<sup>76</sup> Of the coordination-
invariant Cu<sup>II</sup>/L systems for which electron self-exchange rate
constants have been reported to date, the [Cu<sup>II</sup>[(15)aneS₃bpy]]<sup>2⁺/⁺</sup>
substitution model system represents the most convincing example of a redox
couple exhibiting rapid electron-transfer kinetics brought about
by a geometrically constrained entatic state. If the redox reaction
proceeds through a metastable intermediate, thereby changing
molecular symmetry before or after the electron transfer, then
Pathway A is the preferred mechanism due to the lower C<sub>1</sub>
to C<sub>s</sub> barrier for Cu<sup>II</sup>L in both solution and gas phases. However,
neither the theoretical calculations nor the experimental kinetic
data allow us to rule out the possibility of a concerted mechanism for the [Cu<sup>II</sup>[(15)aneS₃bpy]]<sup>2⁺/⁺</sup> system in aceto-
nitrile. The relatively small energy barrier associated with the
geometric change (12.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the system in a vacuum;
15.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> when including a solvent continuum) appears to
confirm the hypothesis that the overall reorganizational energy
barrier for electron transfer in geometrically constrained Cu<sup>II</sup>
systems can be successfully minimized by appropriate ligand
constraints.
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